

PROFESSIONAL CARDS.

ROBERT F. LINCH,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Office, 1205 CHAPLINE STREET,
West Virginia.

JAMES P. ROGERS,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
No. 127 CHAPLINE STREET,
Wheeling, W. Va.—Opposite the Court House.

HARRISON FORBES,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Office—112 CHAPLINE ST.,
Wheeling, W. Va.

JOHN C. ROSEBOROUGH,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
No. 120 CHAPLINE ST.—Opp. Court House,
Wheeling, W. Va.

W. H. HEARN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Office with Daniel Lamb, No. 1313 Market
Street. Office on stairs.

DALLAS EWING,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
No. 41 TWELFTH ST.,
Wheeling, W. Va.

ROBERT WHITE,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Wheeling, W. Va.

DANIEL LAMB,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
No. 1313 MARKET ST. (over City Bank),
Wheeling, W. Va.

DANIEL PECK,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
104 CHAPLINE STREET, Wheeling, W. Va.

W. A. W. COWDEN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Office—No. 1223 CHAPLINE ST.,
Wheeling, W. Va.

R. COCHRAN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
1223 CHAPLINE STREET,
Wheeling, W. Va.

T. J. HUGHES,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Office 71 Twelfth Street, Wheeling, W. Va.
Practice in the Courts of Ohio, Marshall
and Tyler counties, W. Va.

C. OLMSTEAD, M. D.,
HOMEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN,
Office—No. 40 FORTY-SEVENTH ST.,
Wheeling, W. Va.

STOVES & HARDWARE.
ESTABLISHED IN 1830.

R. H. SWEENEY,
Cooking and Heating Stoves
of all kinds. All patterns and sizes of
Austrian, Arch, Grates, Fenders and
Cast-Grates, Tinware, Hollowware,
and all kinds of household goods.
Stove and Tin Repairs.
No. 32 Eleventh Street.

REMOVAL.
We have removed our
Office and Salesroom
to our Works,
Cor. Fourth and Main Sts.,
NORTH WHEELING.

Best and Cheapest Stoves
In the market, will do well to call and see us.

JOSEPH BELL & CO.,
ARLINGTON STOVE WORKS.

B. P. CALDWELL,
Marble, Slate and Iron Mantels,
Common Grates, Tile and all kinds of
Terra Cotta goods.

**Galvanized Iron Work and Tin
Roofing.**
TOGETHER WITH A COMPLETE AS
SORTMENT OF

COOKING STOVES
And House Furnishing Goods. We call
special attention to the

Perfect Radiator Shaking Grate.
The most complete grate in the market.
It can be seen in operation in Mr. Frank
Clegg's store on the Island. Call
and examine.

**1877, 1899 & 1811 Main Street,
near B. & O. R. R. Depot, m-r**

For Boys,
From 5 to 16 Years of Age.

We have just received a very large and
unusually attractive lot of

CLOTHING
For Boys,
AGED FROM 5 TO 16 YEARS.

These Goods are CUT IN THE LATEST
STYLE, of substantial and handsome fab-
rics, and are MADE IN THE BEST MAN-
NER. The prices will be found

VERY LOW.

The public is respectfully solicited to
give these Goods an examination.

M. GUTMAN & CO.,
Cor. Main and Twelfth Sts.
ap1

**Why Should I Buy My
Clothing from Thos. J.
Hughes & Co?**

1st. Because they buy in large quan-
tities, have unequal facilities for buying,
and, therefore, can afford to sell for less
than those not having such advantages.

2d. They have a large corps of FIRST-
CLASS CUTTERS, and you are more cer-
tain to get a good fit there than elsewhere.

3d. They sell only for cash, and do not
charge you anything for losses they would
have to sustain if they were doing a credit
business.

Now is the time to buy, as the

Spring Stock
is received, and you can get first choice
by calling early.

THOS. HUGHES & CO.
Corner Twelfth and Water Sts.
ap2

H. LINGEN,
MERCHANT TAILOR,
1220 Market Street,
THREE DOORS BELOW MCLURE HOUSE.

Having discontinued the Furishing
Goods part of my business, I now devote
my entire time and attention to

Manufacturing to Order
And the Sale of GOODS BY THE YARD.

I have now received a full line of

NEW AND DESIRABLE GOODS,
Suitable for Spring. Besides the

Best Makes of Imported Goods
of all grades.

Please look through my stock before
purchasing, as I am determined to make
such prices as will sell.

N. B.—To Tailors in want of SEASON-
ABLE WOOLENS to fill up, I will sell at
a close margin.

H. LINGEN.
m-r

JUST RECEIVED—
AT

C. Hess & Son's,
Cor. Main & Fourteenth Sts.,

A NEW STOCK OF
Cloths,
Cassimeres,
Vestings, and
Overcoatings,
—FOR—
SPRING & SUMMER WEAR

ALL WOOL KNIT JACKETS.
MEN AND BOYS SUITS MADE
TO ORDER, in Latest Styles and at Lowest
Prices, and a perfect fit guaranteed.

FULL LINE OF
Gents' Furnishing Goods.

We invite the public to call and examine
our stock, feeling assured we can offer no
superior inducements.

O. HESS & SON,
112m

The Intelligencer.
TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

DAILY.
By mail, in advance, postage prepaid.
One year, \$3.00 (Three months, \$1.00)
Six months, \$1.50 (One month, 50 cts.)
Delivered by Carriers in City and Suburbs at 15 cents
per Week.

SEMI-WEEKLY.
By mail, in advance, postage prepaid.
One year, \$3.00 (Six months, \$1.50)

WEEKLY.
By mail, in advance, postage prepaid.
One year, \$1.00 (Six months, 50 cts.)
In clubs of five, \$1.40 each.
In clubs of ten, \$2.00 each.
In clubs of twenty, \$3.00 each.
In clubs of fifty, \$7.00 each.

The Daily Intelligencer gives all the tele-
graphic and general news obtainable by any other
journal. It is especially the best and com-
plicated paper in the State. It does not admit any-
thing into its columns until for the eyes of all
citizens. We invite a trial of it, if only for one
month, and challenge comparison with any other
paper in the State.

The WEEKLY INTELLIGENCER is tastefully
printed and carefully edited, giving all the im-
portant news of the week, intelligently culled and
arranged. It is a valuable addition to the library of
every reader, and is especially adapted to the
needs and taste of farmers and villagers, as
well as of all others who cannot afford to take time
to read a daily newspaper. In advertising space
it is particularly liberal, and gives a large amount of
good reading matter in every issue. The Market
and Financial reports of the Western Intelligencer
are prepared with great care and accuracy, and
are always worth a dozen times the price of the
paper.

Sample copies of either edition of the INTELLIGENCER
sent free on application.
Address all communications to
FRANK & CAMPBELL,
Publishers Intelligencer,
Wheeling, W. Va.

Convict Labor.
BY REV. A. C. GEORGE, D. D.

Imprisonment for the punishment of
crime is comparatively a modern institu-
tion. The holding of men in custody was,
indeed, practised in the most ancient
times; but it was for examination, or with
a purpose to scourge, stone, burn, drown,
banish, mutilate, cast to wild beasts to be
destroyed, or subject, in some way, to
torture or death. Joseph, in Egypt, was
put into prison, or placed in ward, or un-
der guard, till his case could be deter-
mined. The Philistines, as we told,
bound Samson "with fetters of brass," and
made him grind in the prison-house "—
that is, with mill-stones worked by hand.
This, however, was doubtless more for an
intention to degrade the champion of
Israel than to derive any profit or advan-
tage from his toil.

Imprisonment in Greece or Rome
was usually a temporary detention, till
the accused could be banished, con-
demned to the quarries or mines, mutilated
or executed. There were other and
milder punishments inflicted, such as the
loss of family position and authority, the
privation of citizenship and the right to
own civil freedom to the rank of slaves.

In England, for generations, the idea
of a prison was, as Sir Edward Coke de-
clares in his Commentaries, that of a
place of safe custody, not of punishment.
The prisoner was considered as one re-
served for trial, or for gibbet. Thousands
of accused persons perished from cold,
hunger, sickness, abuse, confinement and
polluted air. Thousands were transported
to this country, and sold to the settlers
for a period of from seven to fourteen
years, to pay the expense of their arrest,
detention and passage. When the war
the Revolution excluded these convicts
from American shores, Great Britain es-
tablished a penal colony in Australia, and
transported many ship loads of criminals
to that country. English convicts, not
transported, are employed, to a con-
siderable extent, in quarries, in dock-yards
and on various public works.

In France, since 1852, transportation
has been resorted to, especially for political
offences, and great numbers have been
sent to Guiana and Algeria.

There are evils connected with this
system of transportation, but there are
also advantages. Many of the convicts,
banished to the United Colonies, became
useful and honored citizens, and were the
founders of some of our most illustrious
families. Valuable communities have
grown up in Australia, largely composed
of transported persons and their descend-
ants. We have never, in the United
States, undertaken the transportation of
criminals; but is it not worthy of our
consideration? For what did we pur-
chase Alaska, if not for a convict colony?
Would it not be well to ordain that cer-
tain classes of criminals, whether con-
victed under Federal or State law, should
be transported to that healthful and in-
vigorating climate? Have we not a
surplus population of daring and desper-
ate offenders who can be more success-
fully disposed of in this way than in any
other? Might we not hope that dis-
turbance blood would be cooled to so-
berity and virtue in the land of the seal
and the walrus? Would not volcanic
passions subside in the region of eternal
frosts? Let Congress and the country
give this subject a candid consideration.
A penal colony in Alaska will help to
settle the prison-labor problem at home;
and that is a problem which stares us
squarely in the face.

Civilized communities have learned a
number of things in regard to the trans-
portation of criminals, as for instance, that
men and women must be confined in sepa-
rate prisons or wards, that juveniles
ought never to be commingled with vet-
eran offenders, that no cruel or excessive
punishments should be allowed, that every
convict should have a cell by himself for
his hours of rest and sleep, that regular
food for at least ten hours of the day
should be exacted, that good conduct
should be rewarded by a shortening of
the period of imprisonment, and that edu-
cational and religious advantages should
be afforded. In a word, it must be re-
formed so that the convict is to be reformed
as well as punished; that he must be
remitted to society better prepared to
discharge its duties and improve its op-
portunities.

But if convicts are employed, it must
be chiefly in mechanical labor, and then
the articles manufactured may be thrown
on the market to the injury of honest toil
and private capital engaged in the same
branches of business. It is no marvel, in
a period of financial depression, when
many business men fail, and many laborers
are unemployed or compelled to work
for inadequate wages, that loud com-
plaints should be heard in regard to the
competition of prison labor with honest
enterprise and industry. But the demand
that convicts shall not be allowed to learn
trades, and shall be excluded from me-
chanical labor, is a misdirected and im-
practicable demand. The men must be
employed. In France, prison labor, in
response to just such a demand as we
have now arisen in this country, was
abolished in 1848; but it was restored in
1852, as all classes became convinced of
its absolute necessity. The products of
convict labor, however, are purchased by
the State for its public institutions. This
seems to satisfy the artisans, and yet the
advantages of the plan are rather appar-
ent than real.

The evils which manufacturers and
mechanics suffer because of the products
of prison-labor, chiefly from the labor
of the convicts, is a misdirected and im-
practicable demand. The men must be
employed. In France, prison labor, in
response to just such a demand as we
have now arisen in this country, was
abolished in 1848; but it was restored in
1852, as all classes became convinced of
its absolute necessity. The products of
convict labor, however, are purchased by
the State for its public institutions. This
seems to satisfy the artisans, and yet the
advantages of the plan are rather appar-
ent than real.

The evils which manufacturers and
mechanics suffer because of the products
of prison-labor, chiefly from the labor
of the convicts, is a misdirected and im-
practicable demand. The men must be
employed. In France, prison labor, in
response to just such a demand as we
have now arisen in this country, was
abolished in 1848; but it was restored in
1852, as all classes became convinced of
its absolute necessity. The products of
convict labor, however, are purchased by
the State for its public institutions. This
seems to satisfy the artisans, and yet the
advantages of the plan are rather appar-
ent than real.

articles as the general market will afford.
At all events, the range of prison-prices
should not be less than the average for
free-labor prices. The State ought not
to be the rival of its own citizens. It
ought not to use the penal classes to oppress
the honest and most deserving classes.
There is no reason why prison goods
should be thrown on the market at a
cheap price. The State ought to keep
this matter in its own hands, and prevent
contracting and speculating at the ex-
pense of honest labor. This is the solu-
tion of the problem.—Northern Christian
Advocate, Syracuse, N. Y.

News of the Day.
Harrisburg, Pa., has between 400 and
500 vacant houses.

The Cincinnati Southern road will cost
when completed about \$20,000,000.

The Sheridan Horse Nail Company, at
Cleveland, Ohio, is manufacturing 700
lbs. of hairpins per week.

Sam Bard calls Vicksburg a city of tal-
ent, refinement, beauty and business ca-
pacity.

The Legislature of Virginia at its last
session passed a law making it a misde-
meanor for any one to pay the poll-tax of
another in order to get his vote.

More trouble for the Black Hitters:
"Every stage that goes to the Black Hills
takes some woman out to her husband."

The two weekly Boston Post says that
Tilton and Beecher are both off lecturing,
and that Elizabeth is their advertising agent.

In the truck farms around Charleston,
S. C., about 1,000 acres are planted in
Irish potatoes and 225 acres in straw-
berries. The potatoes will render a gross
income of \$200,000.

Bob Ingerson asked a preacher whether
the fatted calf was male or female. "Fe-
male, of course," replied the preacher,
"for I am now talking to the male,"
which was considered an excellent sell on
the wicked Bob.

Lands in McKean County, Pa., which
a few years ago sold for \$5 per acre, are
now commanding ready sale at \$125 per
acre. Oil has done this.

Building prospects in New York are
brightening. During last week plans for
nearly a million dollars' worth of new
structures were filed.

Tampa (Fla.) Guardian: Those who
never saw an orange tree never heard a
cocking-ding, as that bird can sing.
Heights in his favorite tree and pile
forth his shrill notes with that air of con-
fidence as though he was the predestined
telephone to convey sweet music to the
moon.

SAVANNAH NEWS: Truly a change has
come over the political dream of Jeffers-
on county, Fla. It has been a dream of
the Radical party that county by eighty-
three hundred or nineteen hundred majority,
yet, in November, 1878, the Democrats
expect to be successful and send four un-
compromising Democrats to the State As-
sembly.

CAPT. EADS in Memphis: There is not
a stretch of the river from St. Louis
to New Orleans, fifty miles long, in which
I have not stood on some part of the river
bottom, beneath the shelter of the diving-
bell. I have known the river intimately
for forty years. I have made a life-time
study of it, and I know what I am talk-
ing about.

DALLAS COMMERCIAL: It is said that
the number of emigrants to Kansas last
year will reach 500,000. The puts Kan-
sas in the lead of Texas. This is a mat-
ter which should be sharply looked after
by this State. There can be no doubt
that the reputation for lawlessness which
attaches to the name of the State is work-
ing against us.

**The Three Views of the Origin
of Species.**
So is it, gentlemen, with many vexed
questions: so is it with the question of the
origin of species. There are three possible
views in regard to the origin of spe-
cies. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution.
The first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The first as-
serts Divine agency; the second, the evo-
lution theory; the third asserts Divine
agency by evolution. The first asserts
Divine agency; the second, the evolution
theory; the third asserts Divine agency
by evolution. The first asserts Divine
agency; the second, the evolution theory;
the third asserts Divine agency by evo-
lution. The first asserts Divine agency;
the second, the evolution theory; the third
asserts Divine agency by evolution. The
first asserts Divine agency; the second,
the evolution theory; the third asserts
Divine agency by evolution. The